

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. CR 14-00196 CRB

12 Plaintiff,

**ORDER DENYING MOTION TO
INTERVENE**

13 v.

14 KWOK CHEUNG CHOW, a/k/a “Raymond
15 Chow,” a/k/a “Hai Jai,” a/k/a “Shrimpboy,”
et al.

16 Defendants.
17 _____/

18 The Court is in receipt of a document filed by an individual named Kuang-Bao P. Ou-
19 Young, entitled “Defendant-Intervenor Applicant’s Notice of Motion and Motion to
20 Intervene,” in which Mr. Ou-Young seeks to intervene in this case, citing Rule 12(b)(3) of
21 the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure.¹ See Mot. (dkt. 344). Nothing in Rule 12(b)(3) or
22 in Mr. Ou-Young’s Motion warrants Mr. Ou-Young’s intervention in this case. Accordingly,

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 _____
28 ¹ The Court notes that Mr. Ou-Young is the subject of a pre-filing order. See Case No. C-13-4442 EMC, dkt. 40. That Order deemed Mr. Ou-Young a vexatious litigant and required that he “obtain leave of court before filing any further suits” under particular federal statutes. See id. at 16-17. As Mr. Ou-Young has filed a motion to intervene in an ongoing suit, rather than a new suit under one of the enumerated statutes, the Order does not apply.

1 the Motion is DENIED.

2 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

3
4 Dated: July 8, 2014

5 
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
CHARLES R. BREYER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE